CRITERIA FOR REVIEW OF EL CIVICS CIVIC OBJECTIVES AND ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT PLANS

Agency:	COAAP Objective #:		
Reviewers' Initials:			
Check All That Apply: New Civic Objective New Language and Literacy Objective(s) Revised Language and Literacy Objectives Revised AAP Tasks		_ Revised Rating	g Scale
AAP Type:	Target Level(s):		
Directions: Each question refers to an important aspect of the EL Civics Civic Per Additional Assessment Plan. For each component (civic objective, language and Objective and Additional Assessment Plan (COAAP) you are reviewing, read each section in the COAAP. Check $(\ensuremath{})$ each lettered item that applies. If all the application $(\ensuremath{\mathbf{Yes}})$ in the answer column. If all the items are not checked, circle $(\ensuremath{\mathbf{No}})$. The items the need work in the revision process.	literacy objectives, A ch question and the co able lettered items ar	AP) of the Civic orresponding e checked, circle	vill
Charles On an order			
CIVIC OBJECTIVE 1. Is the objective different enough from the current Pre-approved Civic Objectives establishment of a new objective? Revisions Needed:	to warrant the	Yes	_ No
Does the objective meet the content requirements of the EL Civics grant? A by incorporating English language and literacy instruction and civics education B by containing content that helps students participate effectively in the education, opportunities of the U.S. C by allowing for active student participation at a community level D by going beyond immediate personal life skill needs (such as shopping for grocer Revisions Needed:		Yes	_ No
3. Is the Objective measurable? A by being written clearly in terms of performance (what the student will be able to B by describing measurable actions that the student will be able to perform upon att as: access, interpret, explain, identify (not learn or understand) Revisions Needed:		Yes	_ No
4. Is the objective suitable for a time span of at least 30 hours of instruction? Revisions Needed:		Yes	_ No
5. Is the objective appropriate for the designated level(s)? A by allowing for student civic participation at all designated levels B by requiring actions that match the levels of language proficiency as stated by the Second Language Model Standards for Adult Education Programs (Appendices A Revisions Needed:		Yes	_ No

LANGUAGE AND LITERACY OBJECTIVES		
6. Are the language and literacy objectives appropriate to the content of the civic objective?	Yes	No
A by having similar content to the civic objective		
B by incorporating the key learning tasks necessary for the students to accomplish the civic objective		
C by allowing for instruction and practice in the context of the civic objective		
D by being written in format that makes it measurable (e.g., read and interpret medicine labels)		
E by focusing on at least one of the language skill areas that the civic objective requires		
F by requiring actions that match the levels of language proficiency as stated by the California English-as-a-Second Language Model Standards for Adult Education Programs (Appendices A and C)		
G by constituting at least 30 hours of instruction.		
Revisions Needed:		
Revisions recueu.		
ADDITIONAL ASSESSMENT PLAN	X 7	N.T.
7. Does the Additional Assessment Plan measure the attainment of the Civic Objective?	Yes	No
A by assessing the content of the language and literacy objectives (l&ls) present in the AAP		
B by assessing the same language skill(s): listening, speaking, reading or writing present in the l & ls Revisions Needed:		
Revisions reeded:		
8. Is the Additional Assessment Plan performance-based?		
If yes, check the type(s) of assessment(s) (can be more than one):	Yes	No
A role play		
B portfolio		
C written assessment (letter, form, etc.)		
D oral assessment (interview, oral presentation, etc.)		
E observation checklist		
Revisions Needed:		
9. Does the Additional Assessment Plan clearly describe the assessment?	Yes	No
A by describing each task the student will have to do (or each item the portfolio will contain), including		
examples of the content		
B *by identifying assessments for the level(s) described in the current Pre-approved		
Civic Objectives List (*Only for current Pre-approved objectives which request a		
change in level)		
C by labeling which level(s) of students will complete each part of the assessment		
D by ensuring that the level(s) of the assessment components match the level(s) of the students who are being		
assessed (see the California English-as-a-Second Language Model Standards for Adult Education		
Programs (Appendices A and C)		
E by listing the tasks of the assessment progressing from low (BL) to high (A)		
F by making it clear who will conduct a role play or interview		
G by labeling each task with a number (e.g., Task 1) if there is more than one task		
Revisions Needed:		

**For Portfolio Assessment only		
10. Does the Assessment Plan reflect student involvement in the portfolio process?	Yes	No
A by including student reflections on the content and process of creating a portfolio		
B by ensuring that students have chosen some of the contents of the portfolio		
Revisions Needed:		
RUBRIC		
11. Does each task of the assessment include specific criteria for measuring achievement?	Yes	No
A by specifying criteria that measure student performance in both content and comprehensibility	1cs _	110
B by weighting the various parts of the assessment to match the emphasis of the language and literacy		
objectives in content and skill area		
C by quantifying the measurement where appropriate (e.g., student completes 85% of a form)		
D by labeling each section of the rubric to match each task in the description (e.g., Task 1)		
Revisions Needed:		
40.4.4.1.1.1.0	***	**
12. Are the points awarded clear?	Yes _	No
A by having each task labeled with the total number of points possible for that task		
B by having a zero point score for each rubric group		
C by having the number of points possible for each task add up to the total number of points possible for the		
entire assessment as listed in the rating scale Revisions Needed:		
Revisions recueu.		
RATING SCALE		
13. Is there a Rating Scale which is clear?	Yes _	No
A by listing total possible points at the top of the column		
B by ensuring a minimum of 25 total points with a minimum spread of 4 points between levels, with no level		
scoring lower than 6 points (to account for multiple levels)		
C by ensuring that passing scores match the level of the students		
Revisions Needed:		
FORM		
14. Is the COAAP in a format that is clear and legible?	Yes	No
A by being free of errors (e.g., typographical, grammatical, etc.)	• -	=
B by being in a format that is easily read and understood and consistent across all components		
Revisions Needed:		
Total for "Yes" Ratings For All COAAP Types Except Pol	rtfolio	/13
Total for "Yes" Ratings For All COAAT Types Except For		/13 _/14
	 ~•	